

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/583,787	06/19/2006	Norbert Klingelhage	06-393	8540	
34704 BACHMAN A	7590 04/08/201 & LAPOINTE, P.C.	EXAMINER			
900 CHAPEL		FLETCHER III, WILLIAM P			
SUITE 1201 NEW HAVEN	J. CT 06510		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		1715	•	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/08/2011	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

1	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/583,787	KLINGELHAGE ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	William P. Fletcher III	1715		

	William F. Fletcher III	1719					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 04 April 2011 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.					
. ☑ The reply was flied after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal depi in compliance with 3° TCR* 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 3° CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
 a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ar 		in the final rejection, whi	chever is later. In				
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	on.				
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1,138(a). The date thave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of valued so 7 CFR 1,17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origin	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl	iance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be t	iled within two months	s of the date of				
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
AMENDMENTS							
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below 	sideration and/or search (see NOT		cause				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying the	ne issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c		ected claims.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1:							
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).				
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all		imaly filed amandmay	et concelling the				
non-allowable claim(s).		•	_				
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov 		be entered and an e	xplanation of				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected:							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation							
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 		condition for allowan	ce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).							
13. A Other: The Primary Examiner suggests incorporating one or more of the limitations of proposed claims 22-24 into the independent claim to better distinguish the claims as a whole over the art of record.							
William Phillip Fletcher III/							

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1715

Application No.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The Primary Examiner has not heretofore considered the limitations of proposed new claims 22-24 and, if entered, these claims would require further consideration and search.

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Independent claim 1 requires "providing a bonding agent between the product or layer and the nonwoven during the production of the composite, comprising either (i) mixing the bonding agent with the PU to form a PU-bonding agent blend or (ii) applying the bonding agent to the nonwoven in the moiten state. As stated previously in the record, while the claim is open to the bonding agents being different from the PU product, it does not have to be. In other words, in embodiment (i) above, the PU leads on both the PU and the bonding agent and the PU composition reads on the claimed PU-bonding agent blend. In embodiment (ii) above, the PU is applied in a molten state, thereby reading on this limitation as well. Since the PU (16) serves to bond PP substrates (14) and (15) together, it reads on the claimed bonding agent. The region of thickness of the PU layer (16) closest the PP substrates (14) and (15) thus reads on the claimed bonding agent between the PU product and the PP nonwoven. Further EP 779 beaches that the PU is extructed in a molten state.